

## **Rhetorical Fallacies**

**Rhetorical fallacies**, or **fallacies of argument**, don't allow for the open, two-way exchange of ideas upon which meaningful conversations depend. Instead, they distract the reader with various appeals instead of using sound reasoning. They can be divided into three categories:

- 1. Emotional fallacies unfairly appeal to the audience's emotions.
- 2. Ethical fallacies unreasonably advance the writer's own authority or character.
- 3. Logical fallacies depend upon faulty logic.

Keep in mind that rhetorical fallacies often overlap.

## **EMOTIONAL FALLACIES**

Sentimental Appeals use emotion to distract the audience from the facts. Example: The thousand of baby seals killed in the Exxon Valdez oil spill have shown us that oil is not a reliable energy source.

**Red Herrings** use misleading or unrelated evidence to support a conclusion. **Example:** *That painting is worthless because I don't recognize the artist.* 

**Scare Tactics** try to frighten people into agreeing with the arguer by threatening them or predicting unrealistically dire consequences.

**Example:** If you don't support the party's tax plan, you and your family will be reduced to poverty.

- Bandwagon Appeals encourage an audience to agree with the writer because everyone else is doing so. Example: Paris Hilton carries a small dog in her purse, so you should buy a hairless Chihuahua and put it in your Louis Vuitton.
- Slippery Slope arguments suggest that one thing will lead to another, oftentimes with disastrous results. Example: If you get a B in high school, you won't get into the college of your choice, and therefore will never have a meaningful career.

Either/Or Choices reduce complicated issues to only two possible courses of action. Example: The patent office can either approve my generator design immediately or say goodbye forever to affordable energy.

False Need arguments create an unnecessary desire for things.Example: You need an expensive car or people won't think you're cool.

## ETHICAL FALLACIES

**False Authority** asks audiences to agree with the assertion of a writer based simply on his or her character or the authority of another person or institution who may not be fully qualified to offer that assertion. **Example:** *My high school teacher said it, so it must be true.* 

- Using Authority Instead of Evidence occurs when someone offers personal authority as proof. Example: Trust me – my best friend wouldn't do that.
- Guilt by Association calls someone's character into question by examining the character of that person's associates. Example: Sara's friend Amy robbed a bank; therefore, Sara is a delinquent.
- **Dogmatism** shuts down discussion by asserting that the writer's beliefs are the only acceptable ones. **Example:** *I'm sorry, but I think penguins are sea creatures and that's that.*
- Moral Equivalence compares minor problems with much more serious crimes (or vice versa). Example: *These mandatory seatbelt laws are fascist*.
- *Ad Hominem* arguments attack a person's character rather than that person's reasoning. **Example:** *Why should we think a candidate who recently divorced will keep her campaign promises?*

**Strawperson** arguments set up and often dismantle easily refutable arguments in order to misrepresent an opponent's argument in order to defeat him or her

**Example:** A: We need to regulate access to handguns.

B: My opponent believes that we should ignore the rights guaranteed to us as citizens of the United States by the Constitution. Unlike my opponent, I am a firm believer in the Constitution, and a proponent of freedom.

## LOGICAL FALLACIES

A Hasty Generalization draws conclusions from scanty evidence. Example: I wouldn't eat at that restaurant—the only time I ate there, my entree was undercooked.

Faulty Causality (or *Post Hoc*) arguments confuse chronology with causation: one event can occur after another without being caused by it.

**Example:** A year after the release of the violent shoot-'em-up video game Annihilator, incidents of school violence tripled—surely not a coincidence.

A *Non Sequitur* (Latin for "It doesn't follow") is a statement that does not logically relate to what comes before it. An important logical step may be missing in such a claim.

Example: If those protesters really loved their country, they wouldn't question the government.

An **Equivocation** is a half-truth, or a statement that is partially correct but that purposefully obscures the entire truth.

Example: "I did not have sexual relations with that woman." – President Bill Clinton

**Begging the Question** occurs when a writer simply restates the claim in a different way; such an argument is circular.

**Example:** *His lies are evident from the untruthful nature of his statements.* 

A Faulty Analogy is an inaccurate, inappropriate, or misleading comparison between two things. Example: Letting prisoners out on early release is like absolving them of their crimes.

Stacked Evidence represents only one side of the issue, thus distorting the issue. Example: Cats are superior to dogs because they are cleaner, cuter, and more independent.

**Further Resources:** Lunsford, Andrea A. and John Ruszkiewicz. <u>Everything's an Argument</u>. 3rd ed. New York: Bedford/St. Martin's, 2004.